

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/677,211	10/02/2003	Philippe Asselin	2016242-000001	9637	
7:	590 03/24/2005	•	EXAM	INER	
Micheline Kelly Johnson			LE, HUYEN D		
Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC 1800 Republic Centre			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
633 Chestnut Street			3751		
Chattanooga, T	ΓN 37450-1800		DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_ 0/1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/677,211	ASSELIN, PHILIPPE					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Huyen Le	3751					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely.  from the mailing date of this communic  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	eation.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04.	lanuary 2005.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Thi	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	- ' '						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 7-16</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7,10,13 and 16</u> is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,8,9,11,12,14 and 15</u> is/are rejection.	e withdrawn from consideratio	on.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers			•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		the Francisco					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	= : :		21(d)				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Burea	ts have been received. Its have been received in Applority documents have been rec	ication No	<b>,</b>				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	ceived.					
Attachment(s)			141				
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boulet (1.013.219).

The Boulet reference discloses a pen holder comprising a flexible body having a first recess 2 at a first end for removably receiving a writing instrument 4 of first radial dimension, and a second recess 1 at the second opposing end being capable for removably receiving a writing instrument 7 of a second larger radial dimension.

Regarding claims 3 and 5, all functional statements of the intended use have been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Boulet device which is capable of receiving a pen and a marker.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, the body is made of a rubber material which constitutes an "eraser" material.

3. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Adams (6,238,459).

The Adams reference discloses a pen holder (Fig. 10, col. 5, lines 12-14) comprising a flexible body 1 having a first recess 4 at a first end for removably receiving

Art Unit: 3751

a writing instrument 36 of first radial dimension, and a second recess 6 at the second opposing end being capable for removably receiving a writing instrument of a second larger radial dimension.

Regarding claims 3 and 5, all functional statements of the intended use have been carefully considered but deemed not to impose any structural limitations on the claims distinguishable over the Adams device which is capable of receiving a pen and a marker.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boulet (1.013.219) in view of Chau (D 266,335).

Although the Boulet reference does not disclose the pen holder includes a clock, attention is directed to the Chau reference which showing a cap constituting a "pen holder" having a clock.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a clock on the Boulet pen holder in view of the teaching of the Chau reference for conveniently providing the time.

6. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boulet (FR 1 .013.219) in view of Mullins (6,276,854).

Although the Boulet reference does not specifically show that the pen holder includes advertising material, attention is directed to the Mullins reference which discloses a pen holder 30 comprising an indicia such as an advertisement (col. 3, lines 46-51).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to provide an advertising material on the Boulet pen holder in view of the teaching of the Mullins reference for decorative purposes to enhance the visual effect of the device.

7. Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams (6,238,459) in view of Chau (D 266,335).

Although the Adams reference does not disclose the pen holder includes a clock, attention is directed to the Chau reference which showing a cap constituting a "pen holder" having a clock.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a clock on the Adams pen holder in view of the teaching of the Chau reference for conveniently providing the time.

8. Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adams (6,238,459) in view of Mullins (6,276,854).

Although the Adams reference does not specifically show that the pen holder includes advertising material, attention is directed to the Mullins reference which discloses a pen holder 30 comprising an indicia such as an advertisement (col. 3, lines 46-51).

Art Unit: 3751

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the ad at the time the invention was made to provide an advertising material on the Adams pen holderin view of the teaching of the Mullins reference for decorative purposes to enhance the visual effect of the device.

## Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 01/04/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding the applicant's arguments with respect to Boulet that does not clearly show a pen holder for receiving two different writing instrument of different radial dimensions, examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant's assertion. Figs. 1-3 of Boulet show that a holding device comprising a body having recesses 1 and 2 (at its opposite ends) which are capable of holding writing instruments of different dimensions because the recesses of the flexible body are capable of being expanded to accommodate different size of pens. Figure 2 shows an example that crayon 7 of slightly larger dimension than that of pen 4 held in the recesses 1 and 2.

Regarding the applicant's arguments with respect to Adams that does not have openings at opposite ends of the body and openings are central to the body, and while the present invention has openings facing outwardly of the body allowing the pens to be quickly inserted or released, examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. The applicant has read the openings of the present invention facing outwardly of the body, which includes more limitation than that of actually being claimed. The Adam reference

Art Unit: 3751

clearly shows a body having recesses at opposite ends which are capable of holding writing instruments of different sizes.

### Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is 571-272-4890. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on 571-272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,211 Page 7

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HL March 18, 2005

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

3/19/5